



City of Denton

City Hall
215 E. McKinney St.
Denton, Texas 76201
www.cityofdenton.com

Parks, Recreation, and Beautification Board Minutes

After determining that a quorum of the City of Denton, Texas, **Parks, Recreation, and Beautification Board** is present, the Chair of the Board thereafter convened into an open meeting on Monday, April 1, 2019, at 6 p.m. in the Civic Center, Denton, Texas.

Present: Frances Punch, Alana Presley Taylor, Gary Barber, George Ferrie, Ben Huttash, David Shuck, and Kent Boring

Absent: None

Staff present: Gary Packan, Director, Laura Behrens, Assistant Director, Eddie Valdez, Management Analyst, Heather Gray, Parks Business Manager, Jason Donnell, Associate Planner, Maria Denison, Marketing Administrator

Guests:

Chair Punch called the meeting to order at 6pm.

1. ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION:

A. Consider approval of the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board meeting of March 4, 2019.

Valdez comments about Section A, approval of the minutes, the date shows February 4, 2018, and the year needs to change to 2019. Also, Valdez received an email from Drew Huffman regarding changing the verbiage in the second to the last paragraph under Concluding Items, "Mckenna Park is over fifteen years old, and the slide has been" needs to be replaced from "has been" to "was." The "was" is referring the slide was out of commission for eight (8) months due to finding the replacement parts but was eventually repaired last year.

Valdez also comments about the previous month Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board meeting of February 4, 2019, Section A, approval of the minutes, the date shows January 7, 2018, and the year needs to change to 2019.

Chair Punch requests a motion to approve the staff changes regarding the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board meeting minutes of March 4, 2019, and February 4, 2019. Shuck motioned, and Boring seconded. Motion passed (7 - 0).

Punch requests a correction in Section G, under the development of Parks and Recreation Department (PARD) policies and procedures of the minutes, states "Punch has concerns of

the master plan regarding the different types of committees involved.” The concern was from the residents in the community and not Punch.

Chair Punch request a motion to approve the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board meeting of March 4, 2019 with the corrections. Barber motioned, and Shuck seconded. Motion passed (7 - 0).

B. Receive a report, hold a discussion, and provide staff direction regarding the implementation of an Acceptance of Sponsorships and Donations Policy.

Gray reviews the implementation of an Acceptance of Sponsorships and Donations Policy.

Shuck wants clarification if the Naming Policy is different from the Sponsorship and Donations Policy or related. Gray confirms that these are separate policies, and points out section 2 of the sponsorship policy, “it does not cover sponsorships and donations related to naming rights.”

Boring asked how we handle current sponsorships and donations. Gray confirms the department was using best practice policy and log incoming sponsorships and donations in the Rec1 software.

Punch asked if there is a limit how often the same organization can donate. Gray confirms there is no limit how often the same organization can donate, just the limit on the set dollar amount for approval purposes. Staff can approve up to \$5,000, City Manager can approve \$5,000 to \$99,999, and over \$100,000 would need approval from City Council.

Taylor has a concern if a program relied on a budget from a reoccurring donation to fund the program, and the donator that supported that program can no longer donate. Ferrie comments the difference between sponsorship and donations, and how creating this policy will build a format and create consistency. Gray agrees that this policy will give the department guidelines the ability to accept or reject a donation and gives an example of a donation for I.O.O.F. improvements. Gray further adds donations in the past has been small in comparison under \$1,000, and currently, don’t have a program solely rely on sponsorships and donations to run the program. Taylor comments she just want to make sure that things don’t get commercialized in the future. Packan explains that sponsorship and donation will help offset the budget, and the Naming Rights is more geared towards commercialized than Sponsorship and Donations.

Boring asked what the vetting process would be like for competing sponsorships. Boring provides a situational scenario of people competing for sponsorship to get a good low-cost return on marketing such as logos on shirts. Denison explains the limitations prior to the creation of the sponsorship and donation policy, and what this policy allows for marketing opportunity beyond just logos on shirts such as the possibility to allow posters and banners hung in Recreation Centers.

Punch asked if an organization have to be in Denton to participate. Gray responds that an organization does not have to be in Denton to be able to participate. Boring asked if organizations in Denton will get preference over organizations outside of Denton. Ferrie points out the bullet points in the policy of how organizations, sponsorships and donations are vetted. Ferrie also comments that this policy is the ground work to get the sponsorship and donation rolling and can be revisited later if events become more commercialized. Packan agrees and confirms that the policy can be revisited later if it does become too commercialized.

Shuck comments the Sponsorship and Donations policy is citywide not just for the Parks and Recreation Department. Gray confirms this policy will be citywide, however, the Parks and Recreation Department took the lead on this.

Gray comments next steps will be presented to City Council on May 6, 2019.

Taylor asked what feedback the department received regarding sponsorships and donations. Gray explains the policy will be presented to City Council on May 6 to get their feedback, but it will not be adopted yet until a later date.

Huttash asked about the memorial benches and how people can find out more information about it. Denison replies the information regarding memorial benches can be found online.

Chair Punch requests a motion regarding the implementation of an Acceptance of Sponsorships and Donations Policy. Boring motioned to approve the policy as is, and Ferrie seconded. Motion passed (7 – 0).

C. Receive an informational report regarding the life span of park playgrounds in the City of Denton.

Donnell reviews the informational report regarding the life span of park playgrounds.

Boring asked if there is a way to find out the break-even point of the lifetime of the playground structure and the cost of the equipment to justify the return of investment. Donnell explains Drew Huffman is trying to figure out lower-cost options to increase the return of investment. Packan explains the advantage of having shade to protect and improve the longevity of the playground equipment, but also to protect the users from the summer heat when using the playground equipment. Boring comments if there are coatings that could help extend the warranty and longevity of the playground equipment.

Huttash asked what the limitation to fix the playground in house versus going through the warranty. Donnell clarifies parts can be replaced with like parts, order the same parts from the same company, and the parts could be covered under warranty. However, legally the City cannot modify the parts or the playground equipment. Shuck comments that companies probably upgrade the equipment on a path to replace the existing playground structures.

Boring asked about the playground replacement dates and what options we have on taking more liability not replacing some of the playground structures. Donnell comments the playground replacement standards have increased. Playground structures use to be replaced every twenty (20) years. The City adopted the fifteen (15) year replacement cycle more recently to provide a higher level of service and ensure the safety of the playgrounds. Shuck asked clarification if the playground replacement standards are unrelated to the warranty. Donnell comments it is unrelated. Packan explains the City of Arlington uses the fifteen (15) year lifespan cycle standard as well, and the City of Denton is trying to catch-up with that standard to upgrade the playgrounds. Packan also comments if a playground is extremely damaged, the extreme would be to pull it out of commission and build a new playground.

Huttash asked if there are bone yards for playgrounds to replace and swap out parts. Donnell explains that the playgrounds are not standardized so all playground parts are different. Packan adds that some of the playground parts are buried in concrete and is not suitable for future reusability with a new playground structure.

Punch asked if we recycle the playground pieces. Donnell gives an example of a playground structure that was removed recently, and some of the saved pieces were sent to the recycle center this past weekend.

Shuck asked if the anticipated replacement cost is factored in what's covered with options or replacing what is equivalent today. Packan mentioned the most recent playground structures replaced this year such as Denia, Fred Moore, and Milan, he took in account of the size, location, shade, and what's currently there. Packan also adds some of the locations can be identified on the type and the placement of the playground.

Huttash is confused about how the schools can get away with swapping the playground parts and why the City is bound to not modifying the playgrounds. Donnell explains playgrounds can be fixed but not modified for legal reason, and he can't speak for the school how they handle their playgrounds. Packan agrees and can't speak for the school regarding their priorities. Packan adds the Parks and Recreation Department priority is to provide safe playground structures and same play opportunities for the residents. Every two (2) months the playgrounds are inspected for hazard and vandalism to protect the City and provide safety for the children. Huttash comments the City of Denton operates on a much higher standard. Packan and Donnell point out the Carl Young playground pictures on the PowerPoint slide and adds Quakertown Park playground is similar in age and due for replacement this year. Huttash asked about the concrete playground. Packan responds it is an art piece. Huttash comments he heard from various people that the children are bored with the playgrounds and feel restricted because they want a free form playground. Donnell agrees the trend now is natural and free form playgrounds.

Ferrie request to have a future discussion regarding shaded structure options for playground equipment and parks.

Taylor asked how the process of choosing designs for new playgrounds. Taylor gives an example of a unique design playground structure at Little Elm Park at Lake Lewisville and asked if we've looked into designs like that for Denton's parks. Donnell explain how the process of playgrounds are chosen through a request for proposal (RFP), and what the City can get best for the money. Packan gives an example of Grande Prairie's inclusive structure and how much more it cost to get that type of design and features.

Taylor asked what the standards of colors of paint are. Packan comments he doesn't like the carnival colors and his personal preference is traditional and natural colors. Red does not hold up well in the sun. Donnell clarifies green and blue colors hold up well. Taylor comments the North Lakes Park playground right by the frogs is one of the best playgrounds in Denton.

Boring asked if it is a possibility if we can have neighborhoods submit designs for a playground concept of what they want, and an in-house person in Denton drafts the concept and submits for a request for proposal (RFP) of what the neighborhoods want. Denison explained that Eureka Park took that process and the City of Denton teamed up with Denton Independent School District (DISD) to receive designs for the playground, and it was successful. Behrens explains as part of the Master Plan process, we can receive feedback from people of other parks they have seen and like. Behrens clarifies we look at other parks that meet standards and requirements that are similar to our parks. Behrens explains on how the last mountain bike public meeting was helpful. People gave examples of other parks and other features within those parks they would like to include in our parks. Behrens notes when we put out a request for proposal (RFP), we include the criteria, requirements, and specifications for the designs. Packan explains how custom built playgrounds will be more expensive. Packan clarifies the focus has been identifying suitable play structures to update old playground equipment.

Huttash wanted clarification of the playground structure at North Lakes Park. Packan clarifies the playground structure was built in 2002. Huttash asked what the City does if the playground is still in good shape beyond its 15-year lifecycle. Packan confirms playgrounds that are in good shape will not be replaced yet and will look at other playground structures that needs to be replaced. Packan adds the playground list is a guide, and a budgeting tool. Shuck comments the North Lakes playground gets a lot of shade which helps with the longevity of the life of the equipment. Punch asked playground structures that are in good shape if the date changes on the list or presents itself as outdated. Packan explains the date stays the same but will be skipped for other playgrounds that needs to be replaced.

Barber asked if any of the playground models can be leased. Packan explains he has not seen any leased playgrounds. Packan explains there are a lot of companies out there but have concerns of companies that may not be around five years from now. Packan adds there is a core of companies that have been around for a long time and tends to have reliable products. Donnell explains the only thing that can be leased are portable type of equipment.

- D. Receive an informational report regarding a request for approval of a resolution allowing ProFest, Inc. to sell alcoholic beverages for the Denton Arts & Jazz Festival to be held on Friday, April 26, through Sunday, April 28, 2019, at the Quakertown Park upon certain conditions; authorizing the City Manager or his designee to execute an agreement in conformity with this resolution; and providing for an effective date.**

Behrens reviews the information report regarding a request for approval of a resolution allowing ProFest, Inc. to sell alcoholic beverages for the Denton Arts and Jazz Festival.

- This is the third year of the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board of the three-year approval, and is not an action item. Next year will be presented as a recommendation for approval for the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board for the next three years.

Punch wanted to confirm if City Council wants to see the approval every year as opposed to three years. Behrens explains that even though the Park Board approves the next three years, City Council still wants to see the request each year. So, this resolution will again go to City Council for their approval.

Shuck asked if staff recommends continuing this request based on the past three years. Behrens explains we haven't had any issues in the past and doesn't have a preference. Behrens notes City Council prefers to see the request every year for approval. Shuck asked if there is a revenue benefit for the City. Behrens explains there is not a revenue benefit for the City for the sale of alcohol.

- E. Consider recommending approval of a resolution allowing the Denton Black Chamber of Commerce to sell alcoholic beverages for the Denton Blues Festival to be held on Saturday, September 21, through Sunday, September 22, 2019, at the Quakertown Park upon certain conditions; authorizing the City Manager or his designee to execute an agreement in conformity with this resolution; and providing for an effective date.**

Behrens reviews the approval of a resolution allowing the Denton Black Chamber of Commerce to sell alcoholic beverages for the Denton Blues Festival.

Chair Punch requests a motion to move forward with the recommending approval of a resolution allowing the Denton Black Chamber of Commerce to sell alcoholic beverages for the Denton Blues Festival. Ferrie motioned and Boring seconded. Motion passed (7 – 0).

- F. Consider recommending approval of a resolution allowing Mi Casita to sell alcoholic beverages for the Denton Cinco de Mayo Festival to be held on Saturday, May 4, 2019, from 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., at the Quakertown Park upon certain conditions; authorizing the City Manager or his designee to execute an agreement in conformity with this resolution; and providing for an effective date.**

Behrens reviews the approval of a resolution allowing Mi Casita to sell alcoholic beverages.

Barber asked if the group is still operating under the umbrella of the Parks Foundation without a 501(c)3, and if that is still a concern. Behrens clarifies it is under her understanding the group is not a 501(c)3, they operate as a volunteer organization, and the financing goes through the Parks Foundation. Denison clarifies Juneteenth and Cinco de Mayo operates under the umbrella of the Parks Foundation. Barber asked if staff is okay with the relationship between the group and the Parks Foundation. Behrens explains staff doesn't have authority on the group and its relationship with the Parks Foundation and further explains the group just need to comply with the special events process.

Chair Punch request a motion to recommend moving forward with the recommending approval of a resolution allowing Mi Casita to sell alcohol beverages for the Denton Cinco De Mayo Festival. Ferrie motioned and Barber seconded. Motion passed (7 – 0).

G. Consider recommending the adoption of an ordinance of the City of Denton, Texas amending the Code of Ordinances, related to Chapter 25, titled “Streets, Sidewalks and Public Places” Article V, Titled “Special Events;” providing for findings of fact; providing a repealer; providing severability; providing codification; confirming proper notice and meeting; and providing for an effective date.

Behrens reviews the Special Events policy and ordinance.

Punch asked if the Special Event Supervisor and trained back-up Coordinator names are updated on the City website. Behrens clarifies the contact information is on the City website. Behrens explains the names are sometimes not listed on the website because if there are changes, staff would need to update the website continually. Denison comments the Civic Center staff is also trained to assist on special events request in case if the special Events Supervisor and Coordinator are not present. Shuck replies that the names listed on the website are not that important since there is staff trained on the special events process. Behrens explains the importance of having multiple people overseeing the special events process instead of just having one person handling the requests.

Boring asked what program is used to take the payments. Denison comments Rec1 is the program used for now and have looked at demos for other specific event platforms. Denison also adds she is waiting for what platform the Planning Department will use, and Rec1 is still on its beta stage for the implementation of a special event application incorporated into their software. Behrens gave an example of how the Parks and Recreation Department and Fire Department worked together allowing people to register for the basic and advanced CPR course through the Parks and Recreation Department. The Fire Department will receive the revenue if the advanced CPR (Health Care Provider) course was chosen.

Punch asked if Rec1 is used to communicate through the different departments. Behrens clarifies communication is primarily done through emails and meetings. Behrens explains

next step is to get a software system specifically for special events management. This software will have an online approval process and all applications will be uploaded electronically for all the department liaison to review. This will lay the ground work for the future for event organizers to login online to see the status of their event, and see each comment made from the different departments. Behrens also comments there is a similar system setup for development review. This system may be used over Rec1 if an application is found for special events and tied in to taking payments.

Punch asked how special events like Cinco De Mayo that doesn't have a 501(c)3 affect purchasing liability insurance and would the Parks Foundation pay all their fees. Behrens clarifies the 501(c)3 status does not affect the group's ability to get insurance, and the group was already meeting the one million dollar threshold because of the alcohol sale.

Barber asked clarification if the threshold number changes and comments the number seems low for the Arts and Jazz festival. Behrens explains Scott is comfortable with that number and the City name needs to be added in the policy. The City will have the ability to collect for any damages made under that policy.

Shuck asked if the one million is the minimum threshold or is there a stipulation the liability will increase when there is an amount of people with alcohol. Behrens clarifies it is a million dollars flat regardless of the amount of people. Ferrie comments he has read the policy that when an alcohol permit has been requested the threshold can increase depending on the layout of the event. Denison explains it is written that way to give flexibility for the City if there were impacts to make that change. Behrens clarifies it is written with no specific criteria.

Punch comments about the Arts and Jazz festival when it rained and ruined the grass, and asked clarification if that falls under liability. Denison clarifies it is written in the ordinance the group is liable for any damages to the park in result of the festival. Packan explains the liability insurance does not cover weather related incidents, and there are special events insurance available for weather related impacts separate from the liability insurance. Denison discuss reasons why there is a deposit to cover the small minor incidents. Punch asked if the amount of the deposit is tied to attendance. Denison responds it is not tied to attendance. Punch asked if a church event pays the same amount of deposit as the Arts and Jazz Festival. Denison clarifies it is tied as a special events deposit, and the smaller church events don't have it written into their approval to have their event like larger events such as the Arts and Jazz festival. Behrens explains the smaller events fall under rentals and there is a different process for that. Behrens discuss what the ordinance defines and qualifies as a special event. Behrens points out Sec.25-213. Definitions in the attached ordinance (Exhibit 3).

Shuck asked how notification is happened informing businesses and residents of their parking plan and how they are impacted. Behrens explains there is a form and the applicant will need to knock on doors in the neighborhood to get signatures. There is also no threshold for the amount of signatures signed but can be part of the approval process to review special events.

Punch asked if signage is required for use of a private parking lot. Behrens clarifies that it is the responsibility of the special event organizer to notify people the parking lots that are available to park in. Behrens notes most private lots have signage and notices for towing. Behrens also gives an example of how a special event organizer would work with a private lot owner to access their parking lot and put up signage. Shuck ask clarification if the City does not require the event organizer to put up signage. Behrens clarifies the City only requires documentation for permission to use the private parking lot as part of their parking plan, and it is up to the event organizer if they want to put up signage and on how they want to publish and advertise the parking plan. Shuck asked if there are concerns of people parking in other areas that are not part of the parking plan. Punch asked what the recourse would be if there was no signage of where to park and the vehicle gets towed. Behrens explains there are two kinds of signs. One sign shows parking available for the event, and the other is where not to park. Packan comments that there is no way to control where people park.

Huttash asked for an example of a first amendment activity. Behrens gives an example such as the women's march, diversity issues, protest, rallies and adds it is usually a first amendment protective speech on a social issue. Ferrie explains it is specific to a right of way, where street closure should not happen, and it is a space designated that is safe to the public.

Huttash asked about the fracking event. Behrens explains if there is a group that wants to protest fracking, that would fall under the first amendment activity. But, if an event has live music, vendors, food, and trucks, that would fall under special event.

Punch gives an example of someone were to protest on an issue, will they get arrested if they don't give seven days' notice. Behrens explains there are public spaces for people to protest. Issues can arise if the sidewalks are blocked, marching on the streets, or on a space that require a permit or rental. Behrens also explains some of the concerns for these groups is public safety. The groups are looking for guidance from the City to make sure Police, Fire, and EMS are aware to provide safety for their event. Ferrie explains the 7 days' notice for a first amendment activity permit is for protection. If an altercation occurs, or there is an opposing side present and law enforcement gets involved, the permit for that space gives an added layer for the event being safe and documented. Behrens explains the permit will allow the City to be prepared to assist the group to make sure their activity is safe. Punch asked if the communication to the different departments occurs through emails. Behrens confirms the communication is done through emails and for the larger events there will be a stakeholder meeting. Behrens explains that the department will also sometimes attend the event community meetings to get the most updated information about their event.

Punch asked if having a peace officer to control traffic will increase the cost for the event. Behrens comments it should not increase the cost because most of the events has already been assigning peace officers to control traffic. Behrens comments it would only affect the fun run when they used volunteers at the stations and had to work with the event organizer to change the route, so the volunteers don't need to be out at the streets withholding traffic.

Punch asked if that does not include entry to a parking lot. Behrens gives an example when volunteers can be used within a parking lot.

Shuck had a question about in-kind services regarding amending their application if they decided to have their agreements later. Behrens clarifies that all applications must be amended before the ninety (90) days regarding all in-kind services from the City. Shuck realize the in-kind services Behrens was referring to was from the City, and previously thought the discussion was about in-kind services between other groups.

Huttash asked if the Antiques & Autos is considered a block party. Behrens replies that is an actual street closure. Packan discusses what a block party is. Behrens explains all requirements needs to be met for a street closure. Punch asked clarification if the Industrial Street events are not block parties. Behrens confirms the Industrial Street are considered a special event and goes through the special events process. Behrens also explains most events goes through a company that does the planning and the setup of barricades for their event.

Taylor asked if the school district has to go through the same process if they have an event or party that blocks off streets and are not on campus. Behrens clarifies if they are blocking a street, they are required to follow the street closure requirements. Taylor asked if the school has to apply for the special event like everyone else. Behrens clarifies it depends based on the activity. Some of the activities are not necessarily events, and they would go directly to the Street Division for the street closure. Behrens gives an example of how the community market in the past, did not need to go through the special events process and went straight to the Street Division for the street closure.

Shuck ask who does the customization updates for the software system. Behrens explains the department is looking for software that is already available and doesn't need to be customized. Denison explains the Parks and Recreation Department can capitalize of what the Planning Department software will use or what module will be available for Rec1.

Punch asked if a resident needs a permit to have people park on their property. Behrens says no, however, there are time limits for parking on their property, and requirements for improved surfaces. The code requirements and ordinance fall under the community improvements.

Taylor is excited about the new special events policy. However, she is disappointed that there is nothing about sustainability in this policy. Taylor explains it is in the City of Denton's sustainability plan to prioritize on adding sustainability in new policies. The new special events policy does not encourage sustainability like air, water, and transportation. Behrens explains the ordinance itself doesn't outline any specific individual requirements for the departments. Behrens also discusses the San Antonio green event pamphlet, and how the City of Denton can do more to require or incentivize special events to be more environmentally friendly, sustainable, and green. Behrens also adds this information can be included in the special event guide. However, until this is a requirement in the ordinance, the department will not be able to withhold a permit or approval. Taylor comments that the

department is speaking with the Solid Waste Department but asked about speaking with the Sustainability Department. Behrens thought that Dr. Banks group came from solid waste since they were part of the recycling component and confirms that the Sustainability Department can be part of the conversation. Behrens also discusses how Dr. Banks group can possibly incentivize and promote on how waste is handled in the future. Taylor comments how the City of Denon encourage permits for public parking and asked if public transportation is encouraged to get to the events instead of using cars. Behrens comments there is nothing in place yet asking event organizers of alternative modes of transportation. Behrens gives an example of how UNT and other events promote parking downtown and buses will shuttle people. Behrens also adds that the alternative modes of transportation have been limited due to the additional cost to the event organizer, and the City can only encourage it since there is not a requirement in place. Taylor suggests we should look into adding alternatives into public transportation for special events since this is important for the future to reduce the carbon footprint for every event and would like to continue this conversation in the future. Denison explains that there shouldn't be an issue adding any of the mentioned suggestions to the event guide encouraging different ways to be more sustainable and using other modes of transportation. Ferrie agrees that this the right timing to bring up this topic especially that the co-sponsorship policy is not in place yet and discusses how this is an opportunity to tie in co-sponsorship, sustainability, and transportation in this policy. Ferrie also comments about how he has done a lot of paperwork in the past for different events and describes how glad he is that the special events process will be in one place. Ferrie asked if this will be going to City Council to be established and approved. Behrens confirms this will go through City Council for review and feedback and will go back to City Council again with their additional comments based on their feedback. Ferrie asked if there will be a date when this will get implemented after the approval. Behrens confirms there will be a date this gets implemented if approved. Ferrie suggests using as many communication platforms to disseminate the information to inform people about the new changes to the special event process since there are many events that are upcoming and will give them time to transition to the new changes and process. Behrens clarifies that PARD staff is already having conversations with the events of what to expect next year.

Denison comments that the special events guide is a living document. Packan asks if the event organizer can use the same application for next year's event since it is a fillable PDF. Denison clarifies that the special event organizer will be able to use the same application and prefill areas to populate the application. Taylor asked if the application would need to be re-signed again if there were changes made from the application. Denison clarifies they would need to re-sign the application again.

Huttash asked if the application is an example of staff empowerment and ownership from the previous topic. Packan clarifies the topic Huttash was referring to was under the benefits of sponsorships. Packan also explains how the use of technology is more efficient and effective with resources and provide customer service related activity. Punch discusses how she likes the direction the department is going about the special event process with the suggestions about sustainability and adding transportation. Punch also gives an

example of how the Denton Black Film Festival collaborated with DCTA for people to receive a discount using the DCTA transportation service for the weekend.

Chair Punch requests a motion to move forward with recommending the adoption of an ordinance with the suggested additions to the guide. Shuck motioned and Ferrie seconded. Motion passed (7 – 0).

H. Receive an informational report regarding the May 6, 2019, Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board meeting and scheduled tour.

Valdez reviews the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board meeting and scheduled tour. Valdez reminds everyone of the meeting date, time, and asked if there are any suggestions to add or delete locations. There were no comments or suggestions to edit the locations.

2. CONCLUDING ITEMS:

Punch comments items for next meeting.

- Shade structure for playgrounds.

Taylor would like to learn more about the updates of the public meetings. Packan replies that the meeting minutes can be shared via email. Taylor prefers to receive highlights of the meeting.

Punch had a suggestion to follow-up on requested items for the June, Parks, Recreation and Beautification Board meeting instead of May due to the Park Tour.

Taylor asked if it is possible to have a battle sign dedicated to Avant Garde. They meet at McKenna Park on the weekends to have medieval battles. There was a brief discussion about Avant Gard, including the history, and their contributions to the park.

Denison shows the promotional Master Plan video.

Chair Punch requests a motion to adjourn the meeting. Ferrie motioned and Shuck seconded. Motioned passed (7-0). Meeting adjourned at 8:19pm.